

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/550,835	TAKEDA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SANJAY CATTUNGAL	3768	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SANJAY CATTUNGAL. (3) Rong Yang.
 (2) Long Le. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 December 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 9.

Identification of prior art discussed: U. S. Patent No. 6,100,626 to Frey et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claim 9 requires that a sensor ground substrate and a cable substrate be connected directly or via a relay ground substrate, agreement was reached with respect to Frey reference as it fails to teach the limitation. Examiner agreed to reopen prosecution.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Sanjay Cattungal/
 Examiner, Art Unit 3768